

**The functional roles of 3D heterostyly and floral visitors in the reproductive  
biology of *Turnera subulata* (Turneroideae: Passifloraceae)**

Marina Teixeira Achkar<sup>a</sup>, André Rodrigo Rech<sup>b</sup>, Leonardo Ré Jorge<sup>c</sup>, W. Scott  
Armbruster<sup>d</sup>, Odair José Garcia de Almeida<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Plant morphology lab, Biosciences Institute, Coastal campus, São Paulo State  
University – UNESP;

<sup>b</sup>Universidade Federal dos Vales do Jequitinhonha e Mucuri (UFVJM) –  
Licenciatura em Educação do Campo.

<sup>c</sup>Departamento de Biologia Animal, Universidade Estadual de Campinas  
(UNICAMP)

<sup>d</sup>School of Biological Sciences, University of Portsmouth, Portsmouth, UK

\*Corresponding author: Odair José Garcia de Almeida

E-mail address: odair.almeida@unesp.br

## Abstract

Heterostyly is a floral polymorphism that reduces conflicts between sexual functions (sexual interference), such as self-pollination in self-incompatible flowers and loss of pollen to incompatible stigmas. In many distylous pollination systems, there is remarkable structural fit between the sexual organs of flowers and the parts of the pollinator body parts where compatible pollen is deposited. Nevertheless, inter-morph pollen transfer is often asymmetrical, with short-styled flowers (S-morph) receiving less compatible pollen than long-styled flowers (L-morph). One way to reduce the problem of sexual interference in short-styled flowers is to place stigmas outside of the flower centre, as seen in three-dimensionally (3D) heterostylous flowers. Heterostyly in *Turnera* has been extensively studied; however, 3D heterostyly has not been previously reported in this genus. The aims of this study were: 1) to elucidate the pollination system of *T. subulata*; and 2) to assess whether 3D heterostyly promotes disassortative pollination and reduces self-pollination in short-styled flowers in this taxon. The study population of *Turnera subulata* exhibited an isoplethic (1:1) ratio, with two well-defined morphs, and a high floral accuracy index for both morphs. Results from an experiment in which we manipulated the 3D orientation of the style showed that compatible crosses were favoured by the 3D flower morphology, consistent with a high floral accuracy. Our results also demonstrate the functional importance of 3D heterostyly in reducing intramorph pollination, as it increases the amount of cross pollen reaching the S-morph flowers. Our results provide the first observation of the presence of 3D heterostyly in this well-studied species and demonstrate the importance of this kind of morphological specialization ensuring efficiency in an ecologically generalized pollination system.

39    **Key-words:** Floral accuracy, L-morph flower, S-morph flower, Disassortative  
40    pollination, Generalized pollination system

## 1. Introduction

The reciprocal correspondence of anther and stigma positions in the vertical dimension (heterostyly) was the phenomenon that perhaps most amazed Darwin in his studies of floral morphology and pollination (Darwin, 1905, p. 74). He discussed the evolution and function of heterostyly in great detail and established that only inter-morph pollinations produced viable seeds (Cohen, 2010; Darwin, 1877). Since then, heterostyly has been shown to reduce sexual interference and self-pollination, and promote disassortative (inter-morph) mating (Barrett et al., 2000; Barrett and Shore, 2008). Indeed, reciprocal differences in the position of reproductive organs between morphs makes inter-morph cross-pollen deposition more likely than intra-morph deposition, at least in some systems (Barrett et al., 2000; Keller et al., 2014; Wu et al., 2018). Nevertheless, breakdown of intra-morph compatibility, has also been shown, especially in self-compatible monomorphic populations colonizing new areas (Barrett 2002; Castro et al., 2013).

In many distylous pollination systems, there is remarkable structural fit between the stigmas of each morph and the part of the pollinator body where compatible (disassortative) pollen is deposited (Costa et al., 2017). However, inter-morph pollen transfer may not always be “on target”, with short-styled flowers (S-morph) receiving fewer compatible pollen grains than long-styled flowers (L-morph), the occurrence of which is sometimes related to pollinator behaviour (Keller et al., 2014). Another deviation from perfect disassortative pollen transfer happens when the deposition of self-pollen precedes, and therefore limits or prevents, cross-pollination. In *Lythrum salicaria* the S-morph had more interference from self-pollination than the other floral morphs, compromising seed set of this floral morph (Waites and Ågren, 2006).

One peculiar variation of the classical distylous systems, happens when gynoecia and androecia vary not only in height but also occur in reciprocal positions laterally creating a three dimensional variation. Previously described 3D heterostyly involve short-styled flowers with stigmas projected through the basal portion of the stamens, and therefore projecting away from the centre, functionally creating a second whorl (Armbruster et al., 2006). One expected advantage of the 3D heterostylous flowers is an increased rate of compatible pollen receipt and a reduction in self-pollination, because stigmas are no longer positioned right below the anthers (Armbruster et al., 2006). Even though 3D heterostyly has been described (see also Turketti et al., 2012), to the best of our knowledge there is no experimental evidence testing the hypothesis that the 3D morphological inversion of the position of fertile organs increases the receipt of compatible pollen or reduces the adherence of self-pollen to the stigmatic surfaces of short-styled flowers.

Three-dimensional heterostyly was first described in *Linum suffruticosum* L., a species that has positional reciprocity between L-morph and S-morph flowers in three dimensions (Armbruster et al., 2006). The inter-morph reciprocity is achieved with both anthers of long stamens (S-morph) and stigmas of long styles (L-morph) contacting the dorsal region of the bodies of nectar-seeking pollinators, while the anthers of short stamens (L-morph) and the stigmas of short styles (S-morph) contact their ventral surface. Therefore, the three dimensional position of stigmas should insure pollen deposition with the same or at least similar efficiency for both morphs of *L. suffruticosum*. However, Armbruster et al. (2006) did not present experimental evidence that 3D heterostyly effectively increases disassortative mating in *L. suffruticosum*. Turketti et al. (2012) reported a complex case of three-dimensional reciprocity in tristylous populations of *Oxalis* L. section *Sagittatae* in South Africa. This species is

self-compatible, making the system more reliant on reciprocity, and requiring consistent and precise floral visitation by pollinators, to achieve out-crossing. No data on pollination efficiency were presented, however.

Heterostyly in *Turnera* L. has been extensively studied (Baker and Shore, 1995; Barrett, 1978, 1990; Barrett and Shore, 1987; Belaoussoff and Shore, 1995; Bentley, 1979; Medeiros and Schlindwein, 2003; Schlindwein and Medeiros, 2006; Shore, 1991; Shore and Barrett, 1984, 1985, 1987; Swamy and Bahadur, 1984). However, 3D heterostyly has not been reported previously in this genus. Baker and Shore (1995) studied the pollination of *T. ulmifolia* L. and suggested pollination success was due to adaptation to a diverse range of pollinators, i.e. due to it being an ecological generalist in terms of pollination (Ollerton et al., 2007). The flowers of this species were reported to attract a diverse group of insects that feed on pollen and/or nectar (Barrett, 1978). In this study we focus on *Turnera subulata* Sm. [syn = *T. ulmifolia* var. *elegans* (Otto ex Nees) Urb.]. Although this species also has an open floral morphology and wide diversity of floral visitors (Barrett 1978, ARR unpublished observations), consistent with it having an ecologically generalized pollination system, preliminary observations suggested that the flowers were phenotypically specialized (sensu Ollerton et al., 2007), exhibited 3D heterostyly,. We therefore addressed the following questions: 1) How does the pollination system of *T. subulata* work? 2) How accurate are the 3D flowers of *T. subulata* (cf. Armbruster et al., 2009, 2017)?; and, 3) Is 3D heterostyly able to promote disassortative (compatible) pollination and, in the short-styled flowers of *T. subulata*, to reduce self-pollen deposition?

## **2. Materials and Methods**

### **2.1. Study Species**

*Turnera subulata* was chosen as an experimental species for this study because of its unusual floral morphology with presumed 3D heterostyly. It is a rhizomatous shrub with heterostylous flowers whose corolla is made of yellow petals with lighter apices and a purplish spot at the base; the androecium is composed of flattened-subulate stamens with yellow filaments, which are tightly merged to form a staminal tube; the anthers are orange with basi-dorsal filament insertion, bearing yellow pollen. The gynoecium comprises an ovoid or conical tricarpeal, trichomatous ovary, three yellow styles (measuring 6.5 - 9 mm in L-morph, and 3-5 mm in S-morph), and yellow stigmas. The fruits are subglobose, and the seeds are claviform with unilateral aryls (Arbo, 2005; Woodson-Jr. et al, 1967). The flowers of *T. subulata* have three separate styles, each with a three-branched stigma oriented in a dimension perpendicular to the floral axis in the S-morph flowers. The species is ruderal, occurring in clearings in woods and disturbed areas, including roadsides and urban centres.

## 2.2. Study Population

Experiments and observations were performed with a population of more than 300 individuals of *T. subulata* in the city of Campinas, at the Ecological Park “Prof. Hermógenes de Freitas Leitão Filho” (22°48’44” / 47°04’26”), in an area of semi-natural vegetation (135,000 m<sup>2</sup>). The study population flowers year round, but with a flowering peak from July to February. In December 2015, July 2016, and January 2017 we collected data for three weeks, with daily observations from pre-anthesis to the end of insect visitation or until flowers closed. For comparison of flower visitors and results of incompatibility tests, we used data collected in January 2015 from a population in the city of Presidente Figueiredo, Amazonas (02°73’17” / 60°01’29”).

### 2.3. Isoplethy and Morphometric Analysis

Members of both plant morphs were counted in the population to determine if the population was isoplethic (equal morph frequencies). The nature of floral heterostyly was evaluated morphometrically by measuring 40 flowers of each morph, from which two petals had been removed so that the reproductive organs could be photographed from a fixed distance. To avoid damage or change in functional distances, measurements of stamens (height) and style (length) were taken from photographs using a caliper-ruler as a scale to maintain floral functional dimensions. Afterwards, measurements were recorded using the Image J software, and from these parameters the floral reciprocal accuracy was calculated using the following formulas (Armbruster et al., 2009; see also Armbruster et al. 2017):

#### Tall Organ Inaccuracy

$$TOI = (\overline{LS} - \overline{SP})^2 + V_{LS} + V_{SP}$$

#### Short Organs inaccuracy

$$SOI = (\overline{SS} - \overline{LP})^2 + V_{SS} + V_{LP}$$

Where LS means Mean Anther Height of L-morph; SP means Mean Stigma Height of S-morph; SS means Mean Anther Height of S-morph; and LP means Mean Stigma Height of L-morph. The V's represent the respective variances.

To compare the inaccuracy values proportionally to the organ size, the final values were scaled according to the following formula:

#### Mean-Squared-Scaled Inaccuracy of Tall Organs

$$MSTOI = (TOI * 100 / ((LS + LP)/2)^2) * 100$$



Mean-Squared Inaccuracy of Short Organs

$$\text{MSSOI} = (\text{SOI} * 100 / ((\text{SS} + \text{SP})/2)^2) * 100$$

#### *2.4. Frequencies and Efficiencies of Floral Visitors*

In order to measure the efficiency of each floral visitor as a potential pollinator we used tests of single-visit pollen deposition onto stigmas of virgin flowers, based on Freitas (2013). To ensure all flowers analyzed were virgin, floral buds were bagged, preventing visitor interference. Flowers were individually uncovered, and one of the three stigmas was immediately collected as an unvisited control group. The flower was then observed until it received its first visit; immediately after the visit, another stigma was collected and stored separately for pollen quantification. We counted pollen under a light microscope, using slides coated with a medium made of gelatin-glycerin and basic fuchsin to enhance contrast of the pollen grain relative to stigma tissue (Beattie, 1971).

To determine visitation frequency, we observed flowers for periods of 10 minutes per morph per day, for a total of 80 minutes for each morph (S-morph and L-morph). Visitors were considered pollinators when the difference between the number of pollen grains on the visited stigma and the virgin stigma was greater than zero (King et al., 2013). To ensure that we correctly inferred the morph of the pollen-donor plant we considered differences in pollen size. We tested and confirmed that pollen grains of the L- and S-morphs differ in size (Mean L: 54.43 versus Mean S: 74.04;  $t = -8.9873$   $p < 0.0001$  - see also Fig. 03 from Schlindwein and Medeiros 2006). Because Barrett (1978) recorded that there may be some overlap in pollen size from S- and L-morph flowers, we ignored pollen grains between 60 and 70  $\mu\text{m}$  in size. Figure S.1 (in the supplementary material), shows the range of sizes of pollen from S- and L-morph flowers of our experimental species.

## 2.5. Incompatibility Tests

We determined the fruit-production rates for each possible cross within the population: inter-morph (L-morph vs. S-morph), intra-morph (L-morph vs L-morph; and S-morph vs. S-morph), and self-pollination. In total, we bagged 120 floral buds from 76 L-morph and 77 S-morph plants. After anthesis started we made all crosses manually, making sure stigmas were well covered with pollen. Pollen used for crosses was a mixture from at least five different anthers (one per flower) from five different individuals of the same morphs. We performed 40 inter-morph crosses (20 with S-morph pollen deposited on L-morph stigmas and 20 with L-morph pollen deposited on S-morph stigmas); 40 intra-morph crossings (20 with L-morph pollen deposited on L-morph stigmas and 20 with S-morph pollen deposited on S-morph stigmas); and 40 self-pollinations (20 S-morph and 20 L-morph flowers). Flowers were marked and identified according to the crossing they were subjected to and then re-bagged until the end of anthesis. After seven days, we evaluated the fruit set.

Along with incompatibility tests involving fruit production, we measured pollen-tube growth after inter-morph, intra-morph, and self-pollinations. In order to check for pollen-tube growth we run the same set of pollination tests using ten flowers in each treatment. After the pollination test flowers were kept bagged for at least 12 hours. We then collected carpels, fixed them in 50% FAA (Johansen, 1940), and observed the extent of pollen-tube growth under a fluorescence microscope in the lab. For the pollen-tube growth analysis, we stained the stigmas/styles with aniline-blue solution, as suggested by Martin (1959), allowing the pollen grain and pollen tubes to fluorescence under illumination with a Blue Glass (BG) filter.

## 2.6. Three-Dimensional (3D) Heterostyly Experiment

Thirty S-morph floral buds in upright positions were bagged until anthesis. When virgin flowers were uncovered, we collected one of the stigmas and prepared it on a slide as the control for pollen counts (hereafter called virgin). We manipulated a second stigma (“experimental stigma”) to move its position to the centre of the flower (simulating standard unidimensional reciprocity, with the gynoeceium in the centre), while the third stigma (called “natural”) was kept in the original/natural position (3D) (Fig. 1). In order to move one stigma to the flower centre (inside stamens ring) we used forceps to gently press the style in between the filaments (Fig. 1 B). Since filaments are positioned very close to each other at the base of the flower, the manipulated style remained in the manipulated position by itself during the course of the experiment.

Flowers of *T. subulata* lasts for a single day, therefore after the visitation period when flowers started to close, we collected the remaining two stigmas (the manipulated and the unmanipulated 3D one) for pollen counts. Slides were prepared and pollen was counted using the same method described in the previous section

## 2.7. Data Analysis

We used linear mixed models to evaluate the effect of pollen source (intra- vs. inter-morph pollen transfer) on pollen deposition rates. To include the blocked design of the experiments, in which stigmas from the same flower were used for different treatments, individual flower was treated as a random variable in all models. Starting with a null model using only the random factor and an intercept, we compared models with different sets of fixed effects to test for a treatment effect (virgin flower vs. visited flower; included in all models except the null), such as morph of the pollen donor, morph of the pollen recipient, and the taxonomic group of the floral visitor. To compare

the models and to identify which best explains pollen deposition on stigmas, we used the Akaike information criterion (AIC - Akaike, 1974; Burnham and Anderson, 2002), treating models with AIC differences (delta-AICs) less than 2 as equally well-supported (Burnham and Anderson, 2002).

We used linear and generalized mixed models to test if there were differences in the total number and proportion of pollen grains from L-morph flowers deposited on the stigma surface in S-morph flowers subjected to different treatments. The response variables were 1) the total number of pollen grains from L-morph flowers deposited on the stigma (legitimate pollen) and 2) the proportion of legitimate pollen relative to the total. Total number was modelled as a linear variable with gaussian error distribution, while the proportion as a logistic variable with a binomial error distribution. Predictor variables were single fixed factors representing the three treatments used: virgin stigma, 3D stigma and manipulated stigma (positioned centrally). We used individual flower as a random factor to account for the blocked design of our experiment. We again used the AIC (Akaike, 1974; Burnham and Anderson, 2002) to determine whether this model fit the data better than a null model with only the random factor. Subsequently, we used multiple comparisons through one-way ANOVA, followed by a Tukey test to verify whether the differences were significant between every pair of treatments. The analyses were conducted in the R environment (R Development Core Team, 2006), using the lme4 package for mixed models (Bates et al., 2015). TGgraphics were produced from data analysis with R software (R Development Core Team, 2006) and GraphPad Prism 7.00, GraphPad Software, La Jolla California USA ([www.graphpad.com](http://www.graphpad.com)).

### **3. Results**

#### *3.1. Isopleth and Morphometric Analysis*

The sample population of *Turnera subulata* in Campinas comprised 76 L-morph and 77 S-morph plants and was considered isoplethic (1:1 ratio). The morphometric characterization of heterostyly exhibited two-well defined morphotypes ( $p = 2.5024E-48$ ; Fig. 3). The inaccuracy of reciprocal organs was 0.04 for tall organs, and 0.05 for short organs, indicating a relatively high levels of reciprocal accuracy in both. Short organs were more accurate when not scaled by mean-squaring, but tall organs were proportionately more accurate (MSTOI 4.03% versus MSSOI 2.28%).

### 3.2. Frequencies and Efficiencies of Floral Visitors

Flowers were visited most frequently by the non-native bee species *Apis mellifera*, followed by the native bees *Trigona* spp. in both locations where pollinator frequencies were recorded. In Campinas, we recorded bees and, very infrequently, a bee fly species (Phthiriinae) visiting the flowers (Fig. 2), while in Presidente Figueiredo (Amazonas), Hesperidae butterflies were also recorded as visitors of the flowers. Bees mostly visited a single flower per individual and collected nectar, although pollen collection was also common. Bees frequently landed onto the stigma as they approaching the L-morph flowers. To collect nectar, bees needed to crawl down towards the flower base touching reproductive organs on the way. There was no difference in the position (dorsal/ventral) of the pollen deposition onto the bee body. S-morph flowers deposited pollen predominantly on the visitor abdomen while L-morph pollen were concentrated on the bee head. Butterflies always collected nectar and mostly touched only the tall organs.

Experiments evaluating the efficiency of floral visitors showed that the model with donor morph only, and the model with the donor morph associated with the pollen

receiver morph were the most highly ranked and equally likely (Table 1, dAICc 0.2). Since the donor-morph model is the simpler model, it was considered the more appropriate to explain what influenced pollen deposition. Adding pollinators to the model, either as an additive factor or as an interaction factor, did not improve the explanatory power, suggesting that different pollinator species did not substantially influence the amount of pollen transferred. S-morph flowers received more self-pollen on their stigmas and more total pollen after a single visit than L-morph flowers (Fig. 4). Additionally, pollen from S-morph flowers was more frequently found on the stigma surfaces of both S and L-morph flowers (Fig. 4).

### *3.3. Incompatibility Tests*

The cross pollination between different morphs (L-morph vs. S-morph) showed high rates of fruit production (94,50%), the cross pollination within the same morphs, but different flowers, (L-morph vs. L-morph and S-morph vs. S-morphs) did not set fruit. Curiously the self-pollinated flowers had a non-zero (but very low – 22%) rate of fruit production.

### *3.4. Three-Dimensional (3D) Heterostyly Experiment*

The experiment involving stigma repositioning in the S-morph flowers (Fig. 5) revealed an effect of the three treatment classes (virgin, natural and experiment). The natural (control) treatment (original 3D arrangement) received the most pollen grains ( $P < 0.0001$ ). Additionally, the stigmas in 3D arrangement (natural in S-morph) received more pollen grains from L-morph flowers, both in absolute terms and as a proportion relative to S-morph pollen, compared to the manipulated stigmas (with the 3D arrangement removed, Fig. 6).

#### 4. Discussion

This study reports the third plant family with a case of 3D heterostyly in nature and for the first time an important functional role of this mechanism in improving disassortative mating (compatible pollination) and reducing self-pollination in S-morph flowers of the isoplethic populations of *Turnera subulata*. The almost three-fold increase in total number of compatible pollen grains deposited in the 3D arrangement compared to the experimental flowers (116.4 vs. 43.5) is a good index of the gain in reproductive fitness by this type of heterostyly.

Both tall and short organs presented a high level of reciprocal accuracy, and two well-defined morphs exist (also recorded by Barrett, 1978 and Schlindwein and Medeiros, 2006). The tall organs are proportionally more accurate (i.e. lower inaccuracy in the L-morph stigma relative to the reciprocal (s-morph) anthers, and vice versa), which is a common phenomenon (Armbruster et al. 2009). High reciprocal accuracy is consistent with the results from the 3D cancellation experiment, which shows that compatible crossings (disassortative) were favoured by natural 3D flower morphology. High floral accuracy is not a rule for heterostyly, and some genera such as *Pulmonaria* show extensive variation (up to 20%) in the scaled Inaccuracy Index (Jacquemyn et al. 2018). In fact, the existence of intra-morph pollination in non-3D-heterostylous species has raised recurrent questions about the functional and evolutionary significance of heterostyly (discussed in Wu et al. 2018).

Although 3D heterostylous flowers may be considered to be phenotypically specialized, due to the elaborate system of reciprocal herkogamy, they also show features which indicate ecologically generalised pollination (Ollerton et al., 2007). Generalized pollination was indicated by the fact that several kinds of floral visitors

deposited similar amounts of pollen grains after a single visit. Although the population at Campinas was visited almost entirely by bees, these bees had diverse morphologies and behaviours, arguably belonging to several functional groups (Fenster et al., 2004). In other 3D heterostylous species, *Linum suffruticosum*, pollen was consistently deposited onto either the ventral or dorsal regions of visitors' bodies, therefore flower phenotypic specialization resulted in a specialized use of pollinator body and behaviour (Armbruster et al. 2006). Instead, *T. subulata* seems to rather resemble species of *Oxalis* section *Sagittatae*, where the 3D phenotypic specialization result in a more evenly distribution of pollen on the animal's body, taking advantage of a larger set of animal sizes and behaviour for pollination (Turketti et al. 2012).

In line with a relatively generalist pollination system, butterflies of the HesperIIDae family were recorded visiting flowers in the pilot study conducted in Presidente Figueiredo (Amazonas). Furthermore, Barrett (1978) reported butterflies visiting five varieties of *T. ulmifolia* [including *T. ulmifolia* var. *elegans*, synonym of *T. subulata*] in Central America (Costa Rica, Nicaragua, Panamá) and South America (Venezuela and Northern Brazil - Amazon region). Schlindwein and Medeiros, (2006) also recorded visits by three species of HesperIIDae, besides one beetle and over 20 species of Hymenoptera (mostly bees) in a semi-natural vegetation of "Tabuleiro Nordeste" in João Pessoa city (Northeastern Brazil). Therefore, it seems possible that lepidopterans may also be effective pollinators, despite their absence in the Campinas population. More research is required to fully understand the reproductive biology of *T. subulata*, for instance in relation to the report by Medeiros and Schlindwein (2003) of an intimate relationship between *T. subulata* and the oligolectic bee species *Protomeliturga turnerae*, which appears both to mate in the flowers and to collect its pollen.



The well recorded asymmetry in pollen transfer of heterostylous flowers was corroborated in our study, with S-morph flowers exporting more pollen (see Keller et al., 2014; Piper and Charlesworth, 1986; Schlindwein and Medeiros, 2006; Waites and Ågren, 2006). The number of pollen grains of S-morph origin deposited on the stigmatic surfaces was higher in both S-morph and L-morph flowers. This pattern makes sense because S-morph flowers have longer and more exposed anthers, thus pollen is more accessible to visitors, and pollen export is therefore likely more efficient (Piper and Charlesworth, 1986). Our results are consistent with those of Swamy and Bahadur (1984), who also recorded S-morph flowers as more efficient pollen donors in the reproductive system of *T. subulata*.

Since we could differentiate morph origin by their pollen grain size, we were able to evaluate whether the 3D arrangement enhances disassortative pollen deposition. Indeed, we observed that legitimate pollen flow between morphs was favored by 3D arrangement, as expected for distylous populations (Barrett et al., 2000; Sánchez et al., 2013). Our experiment also revealed that stigmas with a 3D arrangement (S-morph) received significantly higher amounts of pollen grains, and proportionally higher amounts of compatible L-morph morph pollen grains when compared to stigmas with a cancelled 3D arrangement. Therefore, the 3D arrangement increases the amount of legitimate pollen grains received in relation to illegitimate pollen grains (disassortative mating). Thus, 3D heterostyly in *T. subulata* may have evolved for the promotion of legitimate pollen receipt on S-morph stigmas, a matter for future comparative studies separating, for example, intrafloral and intramorph pollen deposition.

## **5. Conclusions**

The export of pollen grains from S-morph flowers was significantly higher than export from L-morph flowers, and the 3D arrangement of stigmas in S-morph flowers increased the proportion of legitimate pollen-grains deposited. In addition, we conclude that the identity of floral visitor species was not a significant factor in explaining differences in pollen deposition. Furthermore, experiments revealed that 3D heterostyly improves pollination by reducing reproductive interference from illegitimate crossings and self-pollination, even in a pollination system that can be considered ecologically generalized.

#### **Author Contributions**

MTA and ARR conceived the ideas and designed methodology;  
MTA collected the data;  
MTA, WSA, LRJ, and OJGA analyzed the data;  
MTA, LRJ, WSA, ARR, and OJGA wrote the manuscript.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing financial interests

#### **Acknowledgements**

We are extremely thankful for the Lab of Biosystematics and Pollination at the University of Campinas (UNICAMP) for laboratory facilities support. We also thank the “Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo – FAPESP” for the scholarship for MTA (Process 2015/26134-9).

#### **References**

- Akaike, H., 1974. A new look at the statistical model identification. IEEE Trans. Autom. Control 19, 716–723. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TAC.1974.1100705>
- Arbo, M.M., 2005. Esdudios sistemáticos en *Turnera* (Turneraceae). III. Series

414 *Anomalae* y *Turnera*. Bonplandia. 14, 115-318.  
 415 Armbruster, W.S., Hansen, T.F., Pélabon, C., Pérez-Barrales, R., Maad, J., 2009. The  
 416 adaptive accuracy of flowers: Measurement and microevolutionary patterns. Ann.  
 417 Bot. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcp095>  
 418 Armbruster, W.S., Pérez-Barrales, R., Arroyo, J., Edwards, M.E., Vargas, P., 2006.  
 419 Three-dimensional reciprocity of floral morphs in wild flax (*Linum suffruticosum*):  
 420 A new twist on heterostyly. New Phytol. 171, 581–590.  
 421 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2006.01749.x>  
 422 Armbruster, W.S., Bolstad, G.H., Hansen, T.F., Keller, B., Conti, H., Pélabon, C., 2017.  
 423 The measure and mismeasure of reciprocity in heterostylous flowers. New Phytol.  
 424 215, 906-917. doi: 10.1111/nph.14604  
 425 Bentley, L.B., 1979. Heterostily in *Turnera trioniflora*, a roadside weed of the Amazon  
 426 Basin. Biotropica. 11, 11-17. Doi: 10.2307/2388164  
 427 Baker, A.M., Shore, J.S., 1995. Pollen competition in *Turnera ulmifolia* (Turneraceae).  
 428 Am. J. Bot. 82, 717–725. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2445610>  
 429 Barrett, S.C.H., 1990. The evolution and adaptive significance of heterostyly. Trends  
 430 Ecol. Evol. 5, 144–148. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-5347\(90\)90220-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-5347(90)90220-8)  
 431 Barrett, S.C.H., 1978. Heterostyly in a tropical weed: the reproductive biology of the  
 432 *Turnera ulmifolia* complex (Turneraceae). Can. J. Bot. 56, 1713–1725.  
 433 <https://doi.org/10.1139/b78-203>  
 434 Barrett, S.C.H., Jesson, L.K., Baker, A.M., 2000. The Evolution and Function of Styler  
 435 Polymorphisms in Flowering Plants. Ann. Bot. 85, 253–265.  
 436 <https://doi.org/10.1006/anbo.1999.1067>  
 437 Barrett, S.C.H., Shore, J.S., 2008. New Insights on Heterostyly: Comparative Biology,  
 438 Ecology and Genetics, in: Franklin-Tong, V. (Ed.), Self-Incompatibility in

439 Flowering Plants. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 3–32.  
 440 [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-68486-2\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-68486-2_1)

441 Barrett, S.C.H., Shore, J.S., 1987. Variation and evolution of breeding systems in the  
 442 *Turnera ulmifolia* L. complex (Turneraceae). *Evolution* (N. Y). 41, 340–354.  
 443 <https://doi.org/10.2307/2409143>

444 Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B., Walker, S., 2015. Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects  
 445 Models using lme4. *J. Stat. Softw.* 67, 1–48. <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v067.i01>

446 Beattie, A.J., 1971. A technique for the study of insect-borne pollen. *Pan Pacific*  
 447 *Entomol.* 47, 82. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003598X00016689>

448 Belaoussoff, S., Shore, J.S., 1995. Floral correlates and fitness consequences of mating-  
 449 system variation in *Turnera ulmifolia*. *Evolution* (N. Y). 49, 545–556.  
 450 <https://doi.org/10.2307/2410278>

451 Burnham, K.P., Anderson, D.R., 2002. Model Selection and Multimodel Inference: A  
 452 Practical Information-Theoretic Approach, 2nd ed. Springer New York, New York,  
 453 NY. <https://doi.org/10.1007/b97636>

454 Castro, S., Ferrero, V., Costa, J., Sousa, A.J., Castro, M., Navarro, L., Loureiro, J.,  
 455 2013. Reproductive strategy of the invasive *Oxalis pes-caprae*: Distribution  
 456 patterns of floral morphs, ploidy levels and sexual reproduction. *Biol. Invasions*  
 457 15, 1863–1875. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-013-0414-2>

458 Cohen, J.I., 2010. “A case to which no parallel exists”: The influence of Darwin’s  
 459 Different Forms of Flowers. *Am. J. Bot.* 97, 701–716.  
 460 <https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.0900395>

461 Costa, J., Castro, S., Loureiro, J., Barrett, S.C.H., 2017. Experimental insights on  
 462 Darwin’s cross-promotion hypothesis in tristylous purple loosestrife (*Lythrum*  
 463 *salicaria*). *Am. J. Bot.* 104, 616–626. <https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.1600408>

464 Darwin, C., 1877. The different forms of flowers on plants of the same species. Murray  
 465 J, London.  
 466 Darwin, F., 1905. The life and letters of Charles Darwin. Appleton, D., New York, NY.  
 467 Fenster, C.B., Armbruster, W.S., Wilson, P., Dudash, M.R., Thomson, J.D., 2004.  
 468 Pollination syndromes and floral specialization. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.*  
 469 <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.34.011802.132347>  
 470 Freitas, L., 2013. Concepts of pollinator performance: Is a simple approach necessary to  
 471 achieve a standardized terminology? *Rev. Bras. Bot.* 36, 3–8.  
 472 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40415-013-0005-6>  
 473 Jacquemyn, H., Gielen, M., Brys, R. 2018. Is sexual organ reciprocity related to  
 474 legitimate pollen deposition in distylous *Pulmonaria* (Boraginaceae)? *Oikos*  
 475 127(8): 1216-1224. <https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.05122>  
 476 Johansen, D.A., 1940. Plant microtechnique. McGraw-Hill Book Company, inc., New  
 477 York.  
 478 Keller, B., Thomson, J.D., Conti, E., 2014. Heterostyly promotes disassortative  
 479 pollination and reduces sexual interference in Darwin's primroses: Evidence from  
 480 experimental studies. *Funct. Ecol.* 28, 1413–1425. [https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12274)  
 481 [2435.12274](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12274)  
 482 King, C., Ballantyne, G., Willmer, P.G., 2013. Why flower visitation is a poor proxy for  
 483 pollination: Measuring single-visit pollen deposition, with implications for  
 484 pollination networks and conservation. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 4, 811–818.  
 485 <https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12074>  
 486 Martin, F.W., 1959. Staining and observing pollen tubes in the style by means of  
 487 fluorescence. *Biotech. Histochem.* 34, 125–128.  
 488 <https://doi.org/10.3109/10520295909114663>

489 Medeiros, P.C.R., Schlindwein, C., 2003. Territórios de machos, acasalamento,  
 490 distribuição e relação com plantas em *Protomeliturga trunerae* (Ducke, 1907)  
 491 (Hymenoptera, Andrenidae). Rev. Bras. Entomol. 47, 589-596.  
 492 Ollerton, J., Killick, A., Lamborn, E., Watts, S., Whiston, M., 2007. Multiple meanings  
 493 and modes: On the many ways to be a generalist flower, in: Taxon. pp. 717–728.  
 494 <https://doi.org/10.2307/25065856>  
 495 Piper, J., Charlesworth, B., 1986. The evolution of distyly in *Primula vulgaris*. Biol. J.  
 496 Linn. Soc. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8312.1986.tb01827.x>  
 497 Sánchez, J.M., Ferrero, V., Navarro, L., 2013. Quantifying reciprocity in distylous and  
 498 tristylous plant populations. Plant Biol. 15, 616–620.  
 499 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1438-8677.2012.00720.x>  
 500 Schlindwen, C., Medeiros, P.C.R., 2006. Pollination in *Turnera subulata* (Turneraceae):  
 501 Unilateral reproductive dependence of the narrowly oligolectic bee *Protomeliturga*  
 502 *turnerae* (Hymenoptera, Andrenidae). Flora. 201, 178-188.  
 503 [doi:10.1016/j.flora.2005.07.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.flora.2005.07.002)  
 504 Shore, J.S., 1991. Tetrasomie inheritance and isozyme variation in *Turnera ulmifolia*  
 505 vars. *elegans* Urb. and *intermedia* Urb. (Turneraceae). Heredity (Edinb). 66, 305–  
 506 312. <https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.1991.39>  
 507 Shore, J.S., Barrett, S.C.H., 1987. Inheritance of floral and isozyme polymorphisms in  
 508 *Turnera ulmifolia* L. J. Hered. 78, 44–48.  
 509 <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.jhered.a110306>  
 510 Shore, J.S., Barrett, S.C.H., 1985. The genetics of distyly and homostyly in *Turnera*  
 511 *ulmifolia* l. (turneraceae). Heredity (Edinb). 55, 167–174.  
 512 <https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.1985.88>  
 513 Shore, J.S., Barrett, S.C.H., 1984. The effect of pollination intensity and incompatible

pollen on seed set in *Turnera ulmifolia* (Turneraceae). Can. J. Bot. 62, 1298–1303.

<https://doi.org/10.1139/b84-175>

Swamy, N.R., Bahadur, B., 1984. Pollen flow in dimorphic *Turnera subulata*

(Turneraceae). New Phytol. 98,205-209. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2433990>

Team, R.D.C., 2006. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.

Turketti, S.S., Esler, K.J., Dreyer, L.L., 2012. Three-dimensional reciprocity: A new

form of tristyly in South African *Oxalis* (Oxalidaceae) species and its implications

for reproduction. South African J. Bot. 78, 195–202.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2011.06.014>

Waites, A.R., Ågren, J., 2006. Stigma receptivity and effects of prior self-pollination on

seed set in tristylous *Lythrum salicaria* (Lythraceae). Am. J. Bot. 93, 142–147.

<https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.93.1.142>

Woodson-Jr., R.E., Scherv, R.W., Robyns, A., 1967. Flora of Panama. Part VI Family

Turneraceae. Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 54, 85–94.

Wu, L.Y., Chang, F.F., Liu, S.J., Armbruster, W.S., Huang, S.Q., 2018. Heterostyly

promotes compatible pollination in buckwheats: Comparisons of intraflower,

intraplant, and interplant pollen flow in distylous and homostylous *Fagopyrum*.


Am. J. Bot. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajb2.1013>

**Table 1.** Model selection evaluating the factors explaining pollen deposition in *T. subulata*. dAIC represents the delta AICs (difference between Akaike information criteria; Akaike, 1974) for sequential models. df represents the degrees of freedom for each model. “Donor” refers to donor morph, and “receiver” refers to recipient morph.

| Model                            | dAIC       | df       |
|----------------------------------|------------|----------|
| <b>Pollen donor</b>              | <b>0.0</b> | <b>5</b> |
| <b>Pollen donor + receiver</b>   | <b>0.2</b> | <b>6</b> |
| Pollen Receiver + flower visitor | 6.4        | 17       |
| All factors added                | 5.2        | 12       |
| Pollen donor + flower visitor    | 16.7       | 18       |
| Pollen receiver                  | 15.2       | 5        |
| Flower visitor                   | 22.3       | 10       |
| Null                             | 45.1       | 3        |



## Figures




**Fig. 1.** Cancellation of the 3D conformation, moving one of the stigmas to the central position of the flower (among the stamens) of *Turnera subulata*. A. Natural 3D stigmas. B. One stigma in natural 3D position and another one moved to the central part of the flower (cancellation of the three-dimensionality of the stigma).




**Fig. 2.** Flowers visitors of *Turnera subulata* in the Campinas – São Paulo population.


A) *Exomalopsis* sp. B) Phthiriinae sp. C) Halictidae sp. D) *Trigona spinipes*. E) *Apis mellifera*. F) *Tetragonisca angustula*.




**Fig. 3.** Distyly in *Turnera subulata*. Range of variation in the pistil and stamen heights, in a total of 80 flowers (40 S-Morpho, and 40 L-Morpho). For each flower the length of the style (from the ovary base to the tip of the stigma), and the length of the stamen (from the ovary base to the tip of the anthers) was measured.



**Fig. 4.** Pollen-deposition on stigmas of *Turnera subulata* after a single visit by a pollinator, grouped according to the floral morph pollen donor and the floral morph pollen-receiver. The boxes represent median and 1st and 3rd quartiles, and the whiskers the extreme values up to 1.5 times the interquartile range. Values beyond this limit are represented as dots above whiskers.




**Fig. 5.** Total pollen-deposition on the S-morph stigmas of *T. subulata*. Treatments tested were as follows: Virgin refers to stigmas collected before any visit of pollinators; Natural refers to stigmas in its original arrangement; Experiment refers to manipulated stigmas for which the 3D position was cancelled. Natural and experimental stigmas were collected at the end of anthesis. The boxes represent median and 1st and 3rd quartiles, and the whiskers the extreme values up to 1.5 times the interquartile range. Values above this limit are represented as dots above whiskers (post-hoc comparisons, all  $p < 0.001$ ).



575

576 **Fig. 6.** Proportion of pollen-grains received from L-morph flowers in relation to all the  
 577 pollen-grains deposited on the stigma of the S-morph flowers during the 3D  
 578 cancellation experiment. The boxes represent median and 1st and 3rd quartiles, and the  
 579 whiskers the extreme values up to 1.5 times the interquartile range. Values above this  
 580 limit are represented as dots above whiskers (post-hoc comparisons, all  $p < 0.001$ ).



**Fig. S1.** Range of sizes of pollen grains from S- and L-morph flowers of *T. subulata*. A. Pollen grains from a L-morph flower. B. Pollen grains from a S-morph flower. Scale bars = 100 µm.